## As Are All Religions Equally AGood?

Facing the question, "Are All Religions Equally Good?", has been one of the most difficult tasks of my preaching ministry. In the liberal atmosphere of a great academic meenterum one ought to strive for intellectual honesty and accuracy. Perhaps on few other questions would people cling to views for tenaciously and variously. It is a dangerously loaded question. One approaches and seeks to handle it as though it were a stick of dynamite. Nonetheless it is an honest question and deserves anstraight-forward answer.

In searching through some of the literature on this question I have discovered that it is pretty thin and scarce. It is, I believe, a question which most religious apologists would like to leave strictly alone. Most of us make huge assumptions about the validity of our particular religious point-of-view without ever raising it. As we think about this this morning let us summon the intellectual courage to inquire if our religion is any better, or poorer, than someone else is.

I invite you, then, to pursue this question by taking the following steps:

-I-

Are all religions equally good? We can begin by admitting a simple truth: No. All religions are not equally good. Manifestly we are compelled to make a value judgment.

Some religions are inferior to others.

Religions must be judged as cultures are judged. It would be absurd to claim that barbarians of the ancient past lived as well as civilized people. Obviously the law of the jungle is not as good as the orderly compound in which Dr. Schweitzer does his work in Africa. Likewise religions reflect different levels of cultural and historical achievement and must be so judged. The religion which condones child sacrifice cannot possibly be equated with the religion which builds orphanages for the care of little children. A religion which places a supreme importance on animals can scarcely be judged as good as that religion which places the highest values on human beings. A religion which degrades and enclaves womandood can hardly be compared with the religion which emphasizes the dignity and worth of woman.

It needs to be pointed out that within any one religion there are various sects or denominations which must be differently judged. Certainly the component groups of

-2-

Ohristianity do not agree as to which is the best denomination. The Roman Catholic Church insists that it is the one true church and that it alone has the keys to the kingdom, but I don't abuy it. Jehovah's Witnesses claim that they possess the only true knowledge of the Christian life, and I don't accept their claim either. I do not in fact believe that all Christian denominations are equally Agood. I think some are extremely had interpretations of the gospel, and so do you.

Reinhold Niebuhr and Norman Vincent Peale, for example, hold to radically different interpretations of the Christian gospel. I am frank to say that I personally kakkawa judge Neibuhras interpretation to be exceptionally profound and challenging where Peale's interpretation is to me incredibly shallow and unworted. I cannot even say "to each his own:"

Pealism is agood enough for the Pealites and Niebuhrism is meant only for the Niebuhrites.

Are all religions equally good? Certainly not!

## -II-

 somsthing to say to us and they insist on saying it.

It is easy for your to dismiss another religion and say that it warm is inferior to your own, but how much do you know about it? Have you studied its origin, its creed, are you acquainted with the meaning of its symbolic acts? The other day one of my children came from home from meeting a young person from another part of the city who had some slanderous comments about that and and of the schools in our area. This had hurt because it was so ill-informed and ill-tempered. This young person was speaking only on the basis of hearsay, for she had never been near this school. She did, in fact, not know what she was talking about. She had no knowledge of some of the wark oustanding and commendable features of our school. She spoke in ignorance. And in relation to other religions these days we cannot afford to be ignorant.

## -III-

Now we ought to take enother step to the place where we understand the position from which we xxxxx make judgments about other religions. It is just basic honesty to see that I judge them from within a life-long framework of Christianity. All of my life I have kxxx stood in the stream of the Christian faith. I have never been out of it and in a sense I can never escape from it. In judging other religions I am restricted by my life-long commitment to Christianity. The same is true of most of us.

When I declare that I believe that Christianity is the best of all religions I would possibly be more impressive and persuasive if I had come to earth from Mars to make a critical study of all religions. My choice of a religion then could be said to be more objective. But I did not choose to become a Christian in this way. I began life with a bias, and I cannot deny it now. My situation is somewhat like that of sportswriters who always pick the home team to win. Every Thursday during the football season a Columbus

writer xxxxxx predicts the outcome of the week's games. Regardless of whom they are playing he invariably picks Ohio State to wir. He has been covering their games for so long he would perhaps feel a bit like a traitor not to make such a prediction. Immersed as I am in the Christian tradition I should have extreme difficulty in deciding that Hinduism, say, is the world's greatest religion.

In a like manner I cannot be completely objective in deciding which is the best nation in the world. When our family was in England three summers ago on the exchange pastorate we had an exceptional opportunity to study Exikish the British way of life and to get to know their people. We saw much that we admired about England and we came away cartain that the English possessed certain qualities of life and character which were superior to our own. Still we were never near the point of saying that we would prefer to life in England. We were too much in love with America for that. For one thing we did no t really learn as much about England as we knew about America. Likewise I scardcely know enough about any other religion to choose it in preference to Christianity.

Why am I a Methodist instead of a Presbyterian? Is it because I carefully examined the ways of both and decided to be a Methodist? Not at all! I was born a Methodist and really can't help being one. When I get provoked with Methodism I escape into the comfortable notion of going over too the Presbyterians. But actually they have never invited me to come. Besides I seem always to get things squared with the Methodists and at most times I am very glad to be a Methodist. Had I been born a Roman Catholic would I have changed? Who can possibly answer such a question? Suffice it to say that I would have been influenced by a powerful, built-in tendency to remain a Romani Catholic. In any choice I can never escape from the influences of my birth.

-IV-

Still another step in coming to grips with this question is to consider the fact that there is a real difference between claiming that any religion is the "only" religion and simply declaring that it is the "Best." It would be weefully unintelligent to claim that Christianity is the "only" religion. That simply is not so. There are other religions of very high values. But I do believe that I have the right - yea, the necessity - of saying that Christianity is the best.

There is an old saying that "Though there are many passes at the foots of the mountain, the mountaineers will see the same moon on its summit." Applied to religion

this implies that they all lead to the same ultimate end. Still it is frequently true that the passes are not akk equally adesirable. It is entirely conceivable that one is better than another. One could take a great deal longer, another could go through unnecessary thicket and danger. One could be the best road to the top.

God has certainly revealed himself through other religions, just as he has fevealed himself in countless noble persons. But just as we would say that God has been more fully revealed to us through the heroic work of Br. Schweitzer in Africa than through our work, so too we claim that God has revealed himself best in Jesus Christ.

-5-

The last step in answering this question is clear: I accept Christianity as the <u>best</u> religion on the basis of my faith. I cannot compel anyone else to join me in this acceptance: ixx I can only witness to the fact that I believe with all of my heart and mind and soul and strength that it is true.

Here I should like to submit a text which is perhaps the boldest to be found in any religion. It comes from the story of the early church when the followers of Christ were proclaiming his gospel. Peter and John, as a result of their healing of a lems man, were arrested. They next day they were brought before the rulers and elders and scribes for examination. There it was inquired of them: "By what power or by what name did you do this?" Peter, filled with the holy spirit, replied: "Be it knownto you all, and to all the people of Israel, that by the name of Jesus Christ of Nezareth, whom you crucified, whom God raised from the dead, by him this man is standing before you well. This is the stone which was rejected by you builders, but which has become the head of the corner. And there

What is involved here is my conception of the truth. Truth has got to be the same everywhere. The law of gravity applies with equal force in both Columbus, Ohio, and Tibet. That two times two equals four is attested by experience on every inch of the globe, and it must be true on the distant planets which soon we will reach. There is in Christianity a basic truth which must be true for all men everywhere. Most students of compafative religions max conclude that the main difference between Christianity and other worthy religions is the Christian ethic. Christianity is finally and ethical religion.

Individual Hindus and Jews or Moslems may be saved as mix individuals, but when humanity as a whole is considered only Jesus has a relevant and dynamic ethic. Christ came, we believe, to redeem the whole world, and to save all men.

Dr. Schweitzer has written: "Every rational faith has to choose between two things: either to be an ethical religion or to be a religion that explains the world. We Christians choose the former, as that which is of higher value. We turn away from the logical, self-contained religion . . . We hold to the absolutely and profoundly ethical religion as to the one thing needful, though philosophy may go to rack and ruin." (Christianity and Beligions of the World, p.75,74) At no time in humanity history have men been so desperately in need of a religion which, being basically ethical, teaches them how to live together in mutual respect and peace. Since Christianity's most fundamental thrust is to teach men to love each other, as they love their Father in heaven, I believe it is of the most crucial importance to the world. Because it is the best answer to man's greatest need I believe it

is the world's best religion.

Recently I received a letter from a woman who made it plain that she would rather hear more of the "straight" gospel than sermons about living issues in the world.

Therefore I think I know what she means, I shall have to witness to the fact that it is this Garring gospel of Christ which has compelled me to feel a compassion and concern for the human shildren as God wherever social "issues" arise o ver the mistreatment or injustice to a single one of God's children. Hence I cannot help but call attention to the live "issues" in which God's children are involved. I cannot help myself, for it is not really.

I. It is not because I am good that I feel for those of my fellow men who are oppressed and cast down. I feel for them because Christ compels me too and because his religion involves me primarily in satisfact ethical concerns. I am faced with the swful realization that there is no personal salvation for me as long as my relationships with my human brothers are fouled in injustice and hats. I am constrained by the gospel.

Andrews this question: "How do you preach the gospel to a Hindu?" Andrews replied: "I don't. I preach the gospel to a man." (Examinate B.T. Hiller The Preacher's Task and the Stone D.T. Wiles of Stumbling. p. 89) If I were to seek to persuade someone to accept my religion I would approach him not as a Hindu, or a Moslem, or a Jew, or a Buddhist. I would approach him as a man, hoping and praying that by the quality of my personal witness to my faith I could so produce the fruits of Christian love that he would be attracted to my Christ. Only where love is superior daw. Examination that he would be attracted to my Christ. Only where love is superior daw. Examination in no one clos, for there is no other name under heaven given among men by which we must be saved." Only as I practice the love of Christ, unconditionally and with regard to all men, do I have a right to claim that his religion is the best.